



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,590	10/23/2001	Norman C. Chan	499059-B-01-US (Chan)	6481
47523	7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN C. MORAN, ATTORNEY, P.C. 4120 EAST 115 PLACE			LERNER,	MARTIN
	CO 80233-2623		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/037,590	CHAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Martin Lerner	2654			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE M Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. writed for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 August 2005</u> .					
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	n of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 to 14 and 21 to 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 to 14 and 21 to 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	n Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
200	a magazina asianoa emoc action for a list	o. The continue copies not receive				
Attachment(s						
1) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Informal	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, and 21 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by *Dans*.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 21, *Dans* discloses a method and apparatus for call classification, comprising:

"a receiver for receiving audio information from the called destination endpoint" — in a first embodiment, resource manager 30 manages placing telephone calls to bank information systems ("the called destination endpoint") from calling computer 32, and verbal messages are generated by a bank information system; state machine 40 places a call to a bank information system, and in response to verbal messages generated by the information system ("receiving audio information"), enters DTMF codes necessary to move through the system (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32: Figure 2); in a second embodiment, a system 100 dials telephone numbers ("the called destination endpoint") to automatically verify the status, and makes a record of any verbal messages received in response ("receiving audio information") (column 13, lines 30 to 37: Figure 6);

Application/Control Number: 10/037,590

Art Unit: 2654

"automatic speech recognizer for determining words in the received audio information" – voice recognition software is provided so that state machine 40 can recognize the verbal responses ("determining words in the received audio information") generated by each bank information system (column 6, lines 32 to 44: Figure 2); state machine 140 recognizes any verbal messages generated in response, and passes the information to a speech recognition program 146 to perform speech recognition (column 14, lines 18 to 30: Figure 6);

"an inference engine for classifying the call destination endpoint in response to the determined words, where the call classification specifies at least one of the endpoint is busy or the call is being redirected" – results of speech recognition are sent back to state machine 40 ("an inference engine") (column 6, lines 42 to 44: Figure 2); state machine 40 recognizes various states to move through a bank information system ("classifying the call destination endpoint in response to the determined words") (column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 4: Figure 2); state machine can recognize when a banking system will transfer a call to a live operator ("the call is being redirected") (column 9, lines 8 to 10), retries if a bank information system is busy (column 9, lines 26 to 33), and automatically re-queues calls when a busy signal is detected ("the endpoint is busy") (column 12, lines 8 to 11); state machine 140 detects when a number is changed (column 15, line 64 to column 16, line 14), and when a called number is busy ("the endpoint is busy") (column 15, lines 15 to 17).

Page 4

Regarding claims 2 and 22, *Dans* discloses system 10 is designed to listen to recognized verbal messages of 2 to 10 seconds (column 6, lines 48 to 52); additionally, a context database 52 provides context to describe all messages that could be heard at any particular point in a call to a bank's information system, where the number of messages in a "context" is small (e.g., less than 10 messages) (column 6, lines 55 to 66); implicitly, "context"-based messages having a time period of 2 to 10 seconds are "phrases".

Regarding claim 11, *Dans* discloses a state machine 40, 140, which receives results of verbal responses for determining a state within a bank information system or a status of a telephone number, and which is equivalent to "an inference engine".

Regarding claims 12 and 24, *Dans* discloses detecting status information on a "D" channel used for ISDN signaling, where this information includes whether a called number is being rung, is busy, or is out of order (column 15, lines 1 to 19); implicitly, detecting "D" channel signaling involves tone detection; additionally, state machine can recognize when a busy signal is detected (column 12, lines 8 to 11), which involves detection of tones.

Regarding claim 23, *Dans* discloses determining additional states of a bank information system, including whether a message cannot be matched (column 11, lines 15 to 36), and whether a number has changed or is disconnected (column 14, lines 38 to 67).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 to 10 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dans* in view of *Setlur et al*.

Dans discloses speech recognition in an automated system for accessing speech-based information, but omits the details of a procedure for speech recognition. However, *Setlur et al.* teaches speech recognition for barge-in and aural prompts, where features are extracted (column 4, lines 45 to 47: Figure 3: Step 306 – Claim 3), likelihood scores are compiled by comparing features to hidden Markov models (column 4, lines 50 to 52: Figure 3: Step 308 – Claims 4 and 25), performing dynamic programming to build a word network of possible word sequences from active node model scores using a Viterbi algorithm, and updating a decoding tree (column 4, lines 52 to 57; column 4, lines 61 to 63: Figure 3: Steps 310 and 314 – Claims 5 and 6), performing a beam search for pruning away unlikely word sequences and storing an updated active word list (column 4, lines 57 to 61: Figure 3: Step 312 – Claim 7), building a network of word sequences and updating a decoding tree built to provide the most likely word sequence (column 4, lines 52 to 63: Figure 3: Steps 310 and 314 – Claim 8), and backtracking through the beam search path using a Viterbi algorithm to

Art Unit: 2654

output the most likely word sequence after the utterance is declared to be completed (column 5, lines 52 to 55: Figure 3 – Claims 9 and 10). Setlur et al. suggests an objective of determining an end of an utterance that is faster, and reliably detects a group of words within an utterance in real time as partial word sequences. (Column 2, Lines 60 to 67) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a speech recognition procedure as taught by Setlur et al. in an automated system for accessing speech-based information by speech recognition of Dans for the purpose of quickly and reliably detecting an end of an utterance within a group of words.

5. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dans* in view of *Heilmann et al.*

Dans discloses that state machines 40, 140 can recognize both verbal messages and "D" channel ISDN signaling information, but omits the details of detecting a tone by energy analysis or zero crossings. It is well known for telecommunication equipment to analyze audio features of energy and zero crossings to distinguish voice from tones. Specifically, Heilmann et al. teaches a system and method to discriminate call content type, where a process 300 performs an algorithm to distinguish the content of a call as either voice or voice band data (VBD) by determining the number of zero crossings of each frame and the energy of each frame. (Column 6, Lines 34 to 59: Figure 3: Steps 306 and 308) Voice band data (VBD) can be DTMF tones. (Figure 2B) Heilmann et al. suggests call content type discrimination for a telecommunications firewall to enhance security. (Column 1, Lines 13 to 20) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Art Unit: 2654

skill in the art to analyze audio features of energy and zero crossings to discriminate voice from tones as taught by *Heilmann et al.* in an automated system for accessing speech-based information by speech recognition of *Dans* for the purpose of enhancing security of telecommunication equipment.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments filed 01 August 2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicants' disclosure.

Farrell, Chou, Baldi, Hird et al., and Bash et al. disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Lerner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7608. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,590 Page 8

Art Unit: 2654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML 8/24/05

Martin Lerner

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2654